

DISCLAIMER: This file presents information in a potential area of automotive advanced safety system technology. The information presented in this file is in no way intended to be, either directly or indirectly, representative of completely formulated and organized aspects of the respective technology, and should not be assumed to be such unless it is expressly indicated to the contrary by the inventor via a formal notification method of his choosing. It is not intended to take the place of laws, regulations, safe driving habits or common sense. ALWAYS DRIVE SAFELY! This proviso may apply to any other works by the inventor.

Road Scene Image Analysis in Lane Departure Warning Systems

Christopher A. Warner
Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering
Oakland University, Rochester, Michigan USA.

Abstract

This paper will cover many aspects of image analysis including image pre-processing, image segmentation, and contextual analysis for road scene images acquired using a digital camera mounted to the rear-view mirror of different automobiles. In particular, road marker characteristics will be extracted including those for various white and yellow longitudinal lane markers. This lane marker information will serve to provide a position with respect to the lane for the vehicle as it travels down the road. If the vehicle should transition towards a position outside the lane boundary, the image processing system will have the potential to notify the vehicle operator that a lane departure is pending. This vehicular image processing system should be relatively invariant to illumination conditions and should be able to perform during a wide range of environmental conditions. This paper will also discuss many of the aspects required to implement the software in Matlab including flowcharts and partial code listings. Preliminary output results for the preliminary road scene image processing system will also be given.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Title Page and Abstract	X
1. Introduction	10
1.1 Justification for road scene image processing systems	10
1.2 Overview of the Preliminary Road Scene Image Processing System	12
1.3 Current and future directions	15
2. Hybrid structural (syntactic) and statistical pattern classification	16
2.1 Introduction and preliminary goals	16
2.2 Potential method overview and sample longitudinal lane marker characteristics	17
3. Preliminary regulatory information	20
3.1 Lane marking function, delineation, and common patterns from the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD)	20
3.2 Other resources for regulatory information	23
4. Image representation formats	24
4.1 Image representation formats and color spaces	24
4.2 Generating approximated 'grayscale' data from the RGB color space	24
4.3 Comparison of data obtained from RGB to HSV and RGB to YIQ transforms	33
5. Image pre-processing	36
5.1 Overview of pre-processing	36
5.2 Noise reduction filters and median filters	36
5.3 One dimensional and two dimensional convolution	41
6. Edge and line operators and edge detection	42
6.1 Directional derivative and gradient	42
6.2 Prewitt and Sobel edge operators and vertical edge and line operators	45
6.3 The generalized diagonal operators	53
6.4 Edge detection for longitudinal lane markers of roads of various curvatures and the effects of threshold variation	54
6.5 Preliminary edge segmentation, 'first level discrimination', and intermediate detection results	65
6.6 Preliminary explanation for color supplemented segmentation	71
6.7 Preliminary isolation of various shades for yellow longitudinal markers and color segmentation	76
7. The Global Edge Map (GEM) concept, segmentation, and classification	86
7.1 Idea behind the Global Edge Map concept and generating an edge map	86
7.2 Interconnection of the GEM using connected components on detected edges	89
7.3 Preliminary GEM discrimination and classification	92
7.4 Justification for high dynamic range camera (HDRC) systems	101
8. Contextual analysis	103
8.1 Calculating slope approximations, slope averages, and generating line approximations	103
8.2 Using a system of linear equations to couple likely individual border projections	114
9. Preliminary standards information	129
9.1 Introduction	129
9.2 ISO 17361:2007	129
10. Creating a Graphical User Interface in Matlab for the Preliminary Road Scene Image Processing System	131
11. References	135
12. Appendix	137
RGB cropped road scene images with projections	137-154
Names of some roadways traveled and vehicles used for road scene image collection	155
Partial specifications on Canon PowerShot A85 camera	155

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
X. Block diagram of a general image processing system	12
X(a). Road scene with both broken lane markers	14
X(b). Road scene where curb side normal lane marker not present	14
X. General flow to preliminary road scene image processing software written in Matlab	14
X(a). Road scene image showing combinations of different types of lane markers	17
X(b). Road scene image showing combinations of different types of lane markers	17
X. Potential generalized block diagram for performing hybrid structural (syntactic) and statistical pattern classification	18
X. Some characteristics of the structure of a broken white lane marker	18
X(a). Road scene showing combinations of different types of lane markers	21
X(b). Road scene showing combinations of different types of lane markers	21
X. Road scene with 'normal' and 'broken' combination of yellow longitudinal lane markers	22
X(a). Road scene showing 'wide' channelizing lane markers	22
X(b). Road scene showing 'wide' channelizing lane markers	22
X. Road scene showing 'broken' and 'dotted' longitudinal lane marker distinction	23
X. Code listing portion for function rgb2yiq.m	24-25
X(a). Cropped RGB image	26
X(b). Grayscale approximation to RGB image from rgb2yiq.m	26
X. Code listing portion for function rgb2hsv.m	28-29
X(a). Sample image covering large range of image colors	29
X(b). Hue channel output of function rgb2hsv.m	29
X(c). Saturation channel output of function rgb2hsv.m	30
X(d). Value channel output of function rgb2hsv.m	30
X(a). Cropped RGB Image	31
X(b). Hue channel output	31
X(c). Saturation channel output	31
X(d). Value channel output	31
X(a). Cropped RGB Image	32
X(b). Hue channel output	32
X(c). Saturation channel output	32
X(d). Value channel output	32
X(a). Original cropped RGB Image	33
X(b). Intensity image from YIQ transform	33
X(c). Value image from HSV transform	33
X. Signal filtering process	36
X(a). Masks for noise reduction with weight value 1/9	36
X(b). Masks for noise reduction with weight value 1/16	36
X(c). Masks for noise reduction with weight value 1/81	36
X(a). Grayscale image	37
X(b). Output of mask with weight 1/9	37
X(c). Output of mask with weight 1/16	37
X(d). Output of mask with weight 1/81	37
X(a). Grayscale image	38
X(b). Output of 3x3 median filter	38
X. Difference between grayscale approximation and output of median filter	39
X. Code listing portion for function sample_filt.m	40
X(a). Geometric interpretation of directional derivative in the x-direction	42
X(b). Projection in XZ plane	42
X(a). Cropped image showing various normal markers	43
X(b). Grayscale approximation	43
X(c). Grayscale cropped from (100:164,70:130)	43
X(d). Rotated surface plot	43

X(a). Grayscale image	44
X(b). Magnitude output from gradient function on same image	44
X(a). Gradient magnitude output for gradient directions $30^\circ < \Theta < 60^\circ$ and $-30^\circ < \Theta < -60^\circ$	45
X(b). Binary thresholded gradient magnitude for gradient direction with threshold set at 50	45
X(a). Prewitt edge operators	45
X(b). Sobel edge operators	45
X(a). Original RGB cropped image	46
X(b). Output from Prewitt compass operator	46
X(c). Output from Sobel compass operator	46
X(a). Example of 1 pixel wide vertical line detector	47
X(b). Example of vertical edge detector pair for detecting dark to light and light to dark transitions	47
X(c). Grayscale approximated road scene image	47
X(d). Output of vertical line detector when applied to road scene image	47
X(e). Binary thresholded output of vertical line detector (threshold = 150)	48
X(f). Binary thresholded output of vertical line detector (threshold = 180)	48
X(g). Binary thresholded output of vertical line detector (threshold = 210)	48
X(h). Thresholded vertical line detector superimposed (in red) on RGB image	48
X(i). Thresholded output of vertical edge detector (threshold = 235)	49
X(j). Thresholded dark to light and light to dark vertical edge detector superimposed in light red and dark red, respectively, on RGB image	49
X(a). RGB cropped road scene image with dark to light and light to dark edge transitions highlighted in darker red and lighter red colors, respectively	49
X(b). RGB cropped road scene image with dark to light and light to dark edge transitions highlighted in darker red and lighter red colors, respectively	49
X(a). Normal white lane marker on 'darker colored' road surface	51
X(b). Broken white marker on 'lighter colored' road surface	51
X(c). Wide and normal white markers at exit ramp in partial shade on degraded road surface	51
X(d). Broken white markers on 'lighter multi-colored' road surface in bright sunlight	51
X(e). Combination of normal and broken yellow and broken white markers	52
X(f). Faded broken yellow and normal white markers	52
X. Generalized mask pair for positive slope dark to light transitions of slope approximately one	53
X. Generalized mask pair for positive slope light to dark transitions of slope approximately one	53
X(a). Approximately straight road	54
X(b). Larger radius road curvature	54
X(c). Moderate radius road curvature	54
X(d). Smaller radius road curvature	54
X(a). Road scene with moderate curvature to left	55
X(b). Different scene with moderate curvature to right	55
X(a). Generalized edge detection process (with detected edges superimposed in black) with threshold = 180	56
X(b). Generalized edge detection process (with detected edges superimposed in black) with threshold = 70 for same road scene image	56
X(c). Generalized edge detection process (with detected edges superimposed in black) with threshold = 180 for second road scene image	56
X(d). Generalized edge detection process (with detected edges superimposed in black) with threshold = 70 for second road scene image	56
X(a). RGB cropped road scene	57
X(b). Detected edges overlayed in black with threshold = 70	57
X. Code listing portion for function detect_edge_gen_bkp.m	58-64
X. High level flow to first portion of image segmentation	65
X. Code listing portion for function perform_discrimination.m	66-67
X(a). Artificial road scene image with sample longitudinal lane makers in FOV for vehicle positioning near 'center of lane'	68
X(b). Artificial road scene image with sample longitudinal lane makers in FOV for vehicle positioning far from 'center of lane'	68
X(a). Scene of vehicle with attached 'stripes' in region of interest	68

X(b). Scene of vehicle with attached ‘stripes’ in region of interest	68
X(a). Original cropped RGB image data	69
X(b). Approximated grayscale version	69
X(c). Unthresholded output from negative slope generalized edge detector	69
X(d). Unthresholded output from positive slope generalized edge detector	69
X(e). Combined generalized edge detector masked output superimposed on grayscale approximation	70
X(a). First cropped RGB road scene image with degraded broken yellow marker	71
X(b). Grayscale approximation to first image	71
X(c). Second color road scene image with degraded yellow marker	71
X(d). Grayscale approximation to second image	71
X. Detail using Matlab zoom command for figure X (a) (rows 87:97, columns 62:80)	72
X(a). Road scene image	73
X(b). Road scene image	73
X(c). Road scene image	73
X(d). Road scene image	73
X(e). Grayscale approximation of figure X(a)	74
X. Different shades taken from yellow longitudinal markers for various road scene images	75
X(a). RGB color for $[R,G,B] = [0.89,0.88,0.5]$ with $G/R = 0.98$, $R/B = 1.8$, $B/G = 0.57$	77
X(b). RGB color for $[R,G,B] = [0.8,0.65,0.15]$ with $G/R = 0.83$, $R/B = 4.9$, $B/G = 0.25$	77
X(c). RGB color for $[R,G,B] = [0.66,0.59,0.23]$ with $G/R = 0.89$, $R/B = 2.91$, $B/G = 0.39$	77
X(d). RGB color for $[R,G,B] = [0.43,0.36,0.04]$ with $G/R = 0.84$, $R/B = 11$, $B/G = 0.11$	77
X(a). Cropped road scene image	78
X(b). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	78
X(c). Cropped road scene image	78
X(d). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	78
X(e). Cropped road scene image	79
X(f). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	79
X(g). Cropped road scene image	79
X(h). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	79
X(i). Cropped road scene image	80
X(j). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	80
X(k). Cropped road scene image	80
X(l). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	80
X(m). Cropped road scene image	81
X(n). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	81
X(o). Cropped road scene image	81
X(p). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	81
X(q). Cropped road scene image	82
X(r). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	82
X(s). Cropped road scene image	82
X(t). Image with ‘yellow longitudinal marker shades’ color characteristic isolated	82
X(a). Road scene of figure X(a) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	83
X(b). Road scene of figure X(c) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	83
X(c). Road scene of figure X(e) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	83
X(d). Road scene of figure X(g) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	83
X(e). Road scene of figure X(i) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	84
X(f). Road scene of figure X(k) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	84

X(g). Road scene of figure X(m) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	84
X(h). Road scene of figure X(o) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	84
X(i). Road scene of figure X(q) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	85
X(j). Road scene of figure X(s) showing distinct yellow longitudinal lane markers from analysis incorporating GEM values, color characteristics, and dimensional relationships	85
X(a). Artificial road scene image with sample longitudinal lane makers in FOV	86
X(b). Sample portion of potential edge map	86
X. High level flow leading to GEM discrimination	86
X. Code listing for portion of function update_global_edge_map_matrix_array.m	87
X(a). RGB cropped road scene image	88
X(b). Grayscale approximation with edge values superimposed in black	88
X(c). Portion of global edge map values superimposed in color on RGB cropped road scene image	88
X. Example of four connectedness	89
X(a). Original cropped RGB image	90
X(b). Various types of 'interconnectedness'	90
X(a). Sample search arrangement	91
X(b). Preliminary generalized method for connecting joining components	91
X. Code listing portion for function remove_currently_unusable_data_from_gem.m	93-94
X(a). Original cropped RGB image	95
X(b). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	95
X(c). Original cropped RGB image	95
X(d). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	95
X. Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination with raised thresholds for road scene image of figure X (c)	96
X(a). Cropped RGB image	97
X(b). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	97
X(c). Cropped RGB image	97
X(d). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	97
X(a). Cropped RGB road scene image	98
X(b). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	98
X(c). Segments of sufficient length (shown in red) which provide potentially useful boundary information	98
X(a). Segments of sufficient length (shown in red) which provide potentially useful boundary information	99
X(b). Segments of sufficient length (shown in red) which provide potentially useful boundary information	99
X(c). Segments of sufficient length (shown in red) which provide potentially useful boundary information	99
X(d). Segments of sufficient length (shown in red) which provide potentially useful boundary information	99
X. Segments of sufficient length (shown in red) which provide potentially useful boundary information	100
X(a). Original RGB cropped image	101
X(b). Grayscale approximation	101
X(c). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination with existing thresholds using the generalized diagonal detector	101
X(a). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination with drastically reduced thresholds using the generalized diagonal detector	102
X(b). Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination with existing thresholds using the vertical edge detector	102
X(c). Contrast enhanced grayscale approximation within region of interest	102

X.	Code listing portion for calculating slope approximations on edges passing discrimination	104-105
X.	Code listing portion for function approx_slope.m finding the approximate slope of a line with two endpoints	106
X.	Code listing portion for function approx_slope_averaging.m	107-108
X(a).	Showing (row, column) format for Matlab matrices	109
X(b).	Cartesian coordinate system	109
X.	Code listing portion for function generate_line_approximations.m	110-111
X(a).	Original cropped RGB image	112
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	112
X(c).	Original cropped RGB image	112
X(d).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	112
X(a).	Original cropped RGB image	113
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	113
X.	Cartesian coordinate representation of lines L_1 and L_2 with points (x_1, y_1) , (x_2, y_2) , (x_3, y_3) , (x_4, y_4)	114
X.	Projections of lines L_1 and L_2 with labeling of additional points	115
X(a).	Cropped RGB image	116
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	116
X(c).	RGB cropped including projections	117
X(a).	Cropped RGB image	118
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	118
X(c).	RGB cropped including projections	118
X(a).	Cropped RGB image	119
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	119
X(c).	RGB cropped including projections	119
X(a).	Cropped RGB image	120
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	120
X(c).	RGB cropped including projections	120
X(a).	Cropped RGB road scene image	121
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	121
X(c).	RGB cropped including projections	121
X(a).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	122
X(b).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	122
X(c).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	122
X(d).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	122
X(a).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	123
X(b).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	123
X(c).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	123
X(d).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	123
X(a).	Cropped RGB road scene image	124
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	124
X(c).	RGB cropped showing only positive sloped projections in red	124
X(a).	RGB cropped image with severely degraded yellow marker	125
X(b).	Preliminary results of detection, connection, and GEM discrimination	125
X(c).	RGB cropped road scene image with projections in red	125
X(a).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	126
X(b).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	126
X(c).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	126
X(d).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	126
X(a).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	127
X(b).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	127
X(c).	RGB cropped road scene with projections	127
X(a).	Sample road scene image with modified projection lines	130
X(b).	Same road scene image with different projection lines	130
X.	A potential user interface for the Preliminary Road Scene Image Processing Software	132

X.	A potential user interface for the Preliminary Road Scene Image Processing Software	133
X.	Preliminary road information generated by selecting 'Display selected info' from main menu	134

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
X. Some potential factors affecting lane delineation	11
X. Some lane marking delineation based on marker color	20
X. Five commonly found patterns with associated characteristics	21
X. Grayscale approximated image data from figure X (b) (rows 157:164, columns 19:32)	27
X. Proposed daytime luminance factors (%) for retroreflective pavement marking material with CIE 2° standard observer and 45/0 (0/45) geometry and CIE standard illuminant D ₆₅	27
X(a). Portion (rows 156:164, columns 67:81) of image data of figure X from approximation for normal yellow marker from rgb2yiq.m	34
X(b). Portion (rows 156:164, columns 67:81) of image data of figure X from approximation for normal yellow marker from rgb2hsv.m	34
X(a). Portion (rows 112:120, columns 274:288) of image data of figure X from approximation for broken white marker from rgb2yiq.m	35
X(b). Portion (rows 112:120, columns 274:288) of image data of figure X from approximation for broken white marker from rgb2hsv.m	35
X. Grayscale approximated values from figure X(b) (rows 87:97, columns 62:80)	72
X. Daytime color specification limits for retroreflective pavement marking material with CIE 2° standard observer and 45/0 (0/45) geometry and CIE standard illuminant D ₆₅	76
X. Roadways traveled during road scene image acquisition	155
X. Vehicles used during road scene image acquisition	155
X. Partial specifications on Canon PowerShot A85 camera	155

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Justification for road scene image processing systems

In the United States in the year 2000, there were approximately 6.4 million motor vehicle crashes reported to police [11]. In many of these crashes, the position of the vehicle with respect to its travel lane was an important characteristic of the situation. Although longitudinal lane pavement markings are intended to support the regulated movement of traffic within the roadway (thus reducing the likelihood of crashes), there are also many other important factors which must be considered in any traffic situation including (but not limited to) the number and type(s) of vehicle(s) involved, the vehicle(s) dynamic operating conditions, the absence or presence of an 'intended' lane transition, the type of transition (drifting, passing, intentional lane change, etc.), the physical setting, and environmental conditions. Two resources which may be referenced regarding analysis of vehicular crashes including those involving lane changes are given in [11] and [12]. It should be noted that lane change crashes sharing the characteristics of multiple vehicle, same direction, parallel path scenarios are not the only occurrences where longitudinal lane pavement markings may play a significant role in crash circumstances. Consider also crashes involving roadway departure or vehicles traveling in opposite directions (just to name two of the many others). Nonetheless, longitudinal lane markers (when present) serve to provide information including (but not limited to) boundary and guidance to be used by the motor vehicle operator on that roadway. The 2004 edition of *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets* indicates that road-following and safe-path maintenance in response to road and traffic conditions are significant to performing vehicle guidance [26]. Thus, the ability of an individual vehicle operator to extract and interpret lane marker information is of paramount importance to the vehicle operator's efficient and safe usage of the roadway. However, situations (driver distraction, driver physical impairment, etc.) may arise which might potentially prevent the operator of a vehicle from using all of the available traffic control device resources (including lane markers). Under these circumstances, having a road scene image processing system in place as part of an overall vehicular safety system being used to minimize the potential consequences of 'missed lane markings' could potentially assist in reducing the frequency of crash occurrences, reducing crash severity, and thus helping to save lives. Additionally, a road scene image processing system might potentially provide travel lane guidance and roadway delineation where the absence or obscurement of a formal longitudinal lane marker has created some travel lane uncertainty. Note that *great care* must be taken when attempting to quantify and qualify important factors including (but not limited to) the position of a vehicle within its lane as well as when a vehicle has deviated from its lane, the intended or unintended maneuvers of a vehicle operator within the roadway, and the effectiveness in which a road scene image processing system both interprets road scene information and alerts vehicle operators to potentially dangerous situations.

There are many important factors which need to be considered governing the effectiveness of traffic control devices (including longitudinal lane pavement markers). The 2003 MUTCD lists five basic requirements as [10]:

1. Fulfill a need;
2. Command attention;
3. Convey a clear, simple meaning;
4. Command respect from the road users;
5. Give adequate time for proper response.

One of the primary needs is to provide lane delineation assisting guidance of the vehicle traveling on the roadway. Thus, a longitudinal lane marker should be conspicuous, visible, understandable, and provide sufficient response time to safely maneuver the vehicle under a wide range of conditions including (but not limited to) driver state, vehicle speed (and other dynamic conditions), vehicle type, and environmental conditions (including weather and illumination). Two key aspects of any longitudinal lane pavement marker that must be considered are the markings luminance and the contrast of the marking with the substrate (road surface). A formal definition of luminance is the luminous flux emitted from a surface per unit solid angle per unit area, projected onto a plane normal to the direction of propagation [5]. However, luminance (in general terms) may be thought of as the amount of light reflected to the vehicle operator or road scene image processing system from the longitudinal lane marker. Contrast may be generally looked at as the difference between marking luminance and local substrate luminance and the greater the contrast between the two, the greater the potential for discernibility. Thus, the

materials used for both the road surface and the lane marker may play a very significant role in affecting lane delineation. Similarly, any materials used in roadway repair and maintenance may affect discernibility of longitudinal lane markers.

Lane markers may be composed of materials including paint, thermoplastic, and preformed tape (just to name a few). Each may include glass spheres or beads to increase retroreflective properties while also relying on the pigment to enhance the markers reflective characteristics. There are many different types of paints, thermoplastics, and preformed tapes and several sources which may be referenced for further information include [31], [32], and those listed in the related websites portion of the appendix under pavement preservation. Two compositions which may be used in roadway construction are bituminous concrete and Portland cement concrete. Bituminous concrete is a road surface composed from materials including (but not limited to) hot asphalt, hot mineral aggregate and refined tar while Portland cement concrete will typically be composed of materials including (but not limited to) Portland cement, sand, water and coarse aggregate. If simply considering the luminance characteristics of the two aforementioned road surfaces, it is apparent that a 'brighter' marker located on a 'darker' surface (or vice versa) would be more discernible than a 'brighter' marker located on a 'lighter' surface under comparable conditions. However, there are many other factors which may affect lane delineation including those listed in table X.

Table X. Some potential factors affecting lane delineation

	Some potential factors affecting lane delineation	Potential examples
1.	Illumination source	Natural generated from sunlight or skylight, artificially generated light from street lights or automotive headlights, ambient, etc.
2.	Illumination characteristics	Brightness, spectrum, illumination area, etc.
3.	Characteristics of the light wave or particle	Polarization, wavelength, frequency, phase, energy, etc.
4.	Direction of illumination	Front directed, back, etc.
5.	Angle of illumination and angle of observation	CIE 45/0 (0/45) geometry with CIE 2° standard observer, etc.
6.	Distance between vantage point and marker	Length, time, etc.
7.	Light interaction between marker and substrate	Specular reflection, diffuse reflection, retroreflection, transmission, absorption, scattering, etc.
8.	Spectral selectivity	Polarizers, IR filters, spectral transmittance vs. wavelength, etc.
9.	Environmental conditions	Temperature, surface moisture, humidity, fog, atmospheric transmittance, precipitation, etc.
10.	Human factors	Age, cognitive capability, physical status, human optics system, motor skills, color perception, tendency for vehicle position within lane, etc.
11.	Vision processing system factors	Optical characteristics, electrical/mechanical/sensor characteristics, algorithms, architectures, environment, vehicle characteristics, etc.
12.	Vehicular characteristics	Vehicle front end length, vehicle width, windshield glass transmittance, height of operator or vision system above road surface, the location and type of headlights, etc.
13.	Materials and properties of road substrate, repair or maintenance materials being used	Cement, sand, water, aggregate, refined tar, rubberized asphalt, asphaltic rubber, polymer-modified liquid asphalt, conductivity, reflectivity, luminance, etc.
14.	Materials and properties of longitudinal lane marker	Paint, thermoplastic, preformed tape, pigment, glass beads, reflectivity, refraction, luminance, etc.
15.	Marker pattern, dimensions, gap-to-segment ratios	Broken, normal, double, width, speed, and context dependent characteristics, etc.
16.	Road geometry	Vertical curves, horizontal curves, junction type, etc.
17.	Surface finish	Smooth, rough, grooved, etc.
18.	Road dirt and debris	Dust, dirt, automotive fluids, paper, etc.
19.	Illumination attenuation	Shading from roadside objects, other vehicles, etc.
20.	Discernibility	Legibility, interpretability, etc.
21.	Age, condition, performance,	Intended service life, durability, appearance and visibility, etc.
22.	Application process for marker	Paint striper, melting and forming, inlaid or overlaid, etc.